

CRITERION 1: Multidisciplinary & Differential Perspectives (formal teams and/or community based networks)
5 - Excellent: Clearly integrates collaboration and/or differential diagnoses and detailed real-world treatment examples.
4 - Strong: Includes collaboration and practical treatment description with good clarity.
3 - Adequate: Mentions collaboration but limited depth or practical detail.
2 - Emerging: Minimal integration of collaborative thinking; lacks clarity in application.
1 - Limited: Single perspective only; no clear treatment description.

CRITERION 2: Practice-Based Case Studies
5 - Excellent: Detailed case study with clear assessment, decision-making, implementation, and outcomes.
4 - Strong: Case example included with good explanation of clinical reasoning and outcomes.
3 - Adequate: Case mentioned but limited detail on decision-making or outcomes.
2 - Emerging: Minimal case detail; unclear clinical reasoning.
1 - Limited: No meaningful case example.

CRITERION 3: Integration of the Lived Experience Perspective
5 - Excellent: Lived perspective meaningfully integrated throughout assessment and treatment.
4 - Strong: Clear lived experience inclusion with thoughtful engagement discussion.
3 - Adequate: Lived experience mentioned but not fully integrated.
2 - Emerging: Minimal lived experience consideration.
1 - Limited: No lived experience perspective included.